

Socio-Economic Condition and Health Status of Urban Slums: A Case Study of Jogo Chak, Sialkot

M. M. Naveed, M. M. Anwar

Department of Geosciences and Geography, University of Gujrat,
PAKISTAN.

bashriza999@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

People living in slum areas are very poor. Their socio-economic condition does not allow them to live a healthy life. They do not have access to sanitation. They cannot get safe water of water supply. They have to live in adverse conditions so why these areas had to face many health issues. The main purpose of this study was to find out socio-economic condition and health status of urban slums of Sialkot. Data was collected from 105 respondents by survey research method. Respondents were selected by random sampling. A well-structured questionnaire was used to collect information. Data was analyzed through SPSS. Research found that people living in urban slums had bad living conditions. Owing to bad hygienic condition, health status of households was also not satisfactory. Morbidity rate was very high among studied population. It was concluded that people lived with low socio-economic conditions due to which they had to face health issues.

Keywords: Socio-economic condition, poverty, sanitation, health, households

INTRODUCTION

Slum areas illustrate high rates of poverty, illiteracy and bad health status. Slum dwellers have low earning. Urban areas do not provide them proper job. They do not have any formal education facilities. Dwellers of slums engaged in informal labor through which they cannot earn much. They work in environment which is harmful to them. This fact force them to work in informal sector (Alamgir, Jabbar et al. 2009). Lower socio-economic conditions lead them to ailing life. Living conditions of dwellers of slums are not good. Even though the living condition of slum dwellers are worse than of rural dwellers. They are more vulnerable to communicable diseases and malnutrition and at the same time exposed to greater risk of accidents at work (Ameratunga, Hijar et al. 2006). Poverty is a common characteristic of slums. Most of the slum dwellers in developing countries are living below poverty line. They do not have good source of income(Ompad, Galea et al. 2007). Most of them have been attached with informal sector through which they cannot feed their families properly. Unemployment rates are very high in urban slums(Ali 2010).

The houses are usually inadequately ventilated in urban slums. Indoor quality of air is very bad which may cause respiratory infections. An adequate supply of drinking water is basic human need. Unfortunately, most of the households in slums do not have access to safe water. In some areas public water supply is available but quality of water is not so good. Sanitation system is very poor in slums(Panda 1993). In some slums condition is better but these are very few. Slums generally do not have any drainage. The streets are narrow and unpaved; slum dwellers have to face water stagnation in rainy season. This makes the environment of that area very unhygienic. Such environment causes a number of diseases in slums (Dziuban, Liang et al. 2010).

Slums are usually located near railway tracks, factories and busy roadsides thus rendering their inhabitants vulnerable to high burden of diseases. They are exposed to vehicular and industrial pollution. The environment of such areas is not good for health; it causes a number of diseases among which respiratory diseases are very common (Gulis, Mulumba et al. 2004).

One of the most important characteristics of slums is lack of ownership of land where they are living. Usually they make their houses on vacant government or public land, or marginal land parcels like railway setbacks or undesirable marshy land. When the land is not in productive use they get it as an opportunity and settle there. They are vulnerable to landslide, flood prone areas and unsafe environment (Unger and Riley 2007).

Education is basic right of every human being. Unfortunately very few slum dwellers can get this right. Literacy rate in slums is very low, especially; women have to suffer more than men. This condition is not similar in all the slums of the world but developing countries explore this phenomenon more. Generally authorities are reluctant to provide this opportunity to dwellers (ROBERTS 2000).

Living conditions in slum are very poor. People have to live in adverse conditions in slum areas. Slums are generally dirty and unclean; there is not a proper way of cleanliness. Shortage of water supply and inadequate sanitation creates issues for households (Bandyopadhyay and Agrawal 2013).

Generally slum dwellers do not have access to safe water it is a major cause of diseases in slums. They face difficult to obtain water, the water which they get is not of good quality; it makes them vulnerable to diseases. About 2 million people die every year due to diarrheal diseases; most of them are children less than 5 years of age. The main cause of children death is diarrhea (Graf, Meierhofer et al. 2008). The people of slums do not adopt precautionary measurements to get safety from diseases. These people can avoid this disease by using soap because decreases chances of disease up to 47 percent (Curtis, Cairncross et al. 2000). The most affected people are the populations in developing countries, living in extreme conditions of poverty. Group of children and women have more adverse condition than other counterparts. Women are not able to get antenatal treatment during pregnancy so why infant mortality and maternal mortality rates are very high in such areas. Malnutrition also causes a number of diseases. This is why morbidity rates are high in both children and women (Awasthi and Agarwal 2003). Overcrowding also take part in high epidemiological prevalence in slums. Epidemic-prone infections in overcrowded areas may be high. Overcrowding can cause influenza, rheumatic heart disease, a chronic and debilitating disease (Siegel, Davidson et al. 1997).

STUDY OBJECTIVES

This study is aimed at conducting a survey research to find out socio-economic condition and health status of urban slums. This study aims to explore how the socio-economic condition affects the health of households of slums area.

DATA AND METHODOLOGY

Survey research method was used to collect data. The target population was the households of urban slums. Researcher collected data from urban slums of Sialkot. The study was conducted in Jogo Chak, Sialkot. Households were selected through simple random method. Researcher used the random sampling to draw the sample from the population, because sampling frame was available and it was easy for researcher to draw a sample from the target population. The sample size was 105. Data was collected from 105 married women who

performed household chores. Researcher chose this group because they can provide well information about their household status. After deciding sample size, researcher conducted pretesting. Pretesting was conducted by 10 of total respondents. Necessary changes were made for reliability of tool. A well-structured questionnaire was used as a tool for data collection. Researcher divided questionnaire into three parts, demographic and socio economic information, living condition information and health information respectively. Face to face interviewing method was used for data collection. After data collection; Data was entered into SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Science 17.0) and Spearman association test was applied to check association between socio-economic and health status variables. At the end results were calculated, analyzed and interpreted with the help of SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences).

ANALYSIS OF ASSOCIATION

Table 1. Summary of Chi Square Result of Income Level and Facing any Chronic Disease

<i>Cross tabulation</i>	x^2	A
Chi square	12.698 ^a	.013

N= 105 (x^2) chi square value.

(a) Level of significant statistically significant at 5%.

Analysis of Chi-square was applied on variable of income of household and facing any chronic disease to determine the association between them. This test result suggests that there exists significant association between these variables. This indicates that there is dependence of variables on each other.

Table 2. Summary of Chi Square Result of House Type and Facing any Chronic Disease

<i>Cross tabulation</i>	x^2	A
Chi square	7.558 ^a	.023

N=105 (x^2) chi square value.

(a) Level of significant statistically significant at 5%.

Analysis of Chi-square was applied on variable of house type and facing any chronic disease to determine the association between them. This test result suggests that there exists significant association between these variables. This indicates that there is dependence of variables on each other.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The main purpose of this study was to find out socio-economic condition and health status of slum areas. First objective of this study was to find out socio-economic condition of urban slums. For this purpose different indicators were used. Results show that most of the responses related to these indicators were not positive. Education level was very low in the studied area. Results show that more than half of the respondents were illiterate; there was only one respondent who had education up to intermediate level. Household size was observed larger; more than one fourth of total respondents denoted that there household size was 11-13 members. More than half of the respondents were living in joint family system. Near about one third of total respondents had 3 rooms in their house if we look at house hold size and compare it with number of rooms it is quite dirty condition, it shows that accommodation would be a problem for them. Detail was sought about type of house that

respondents had, more than 40.0 percent households had semi pacca house type. Income level is an important characteristic of any population; information was collected about the monthly income of households. Just less than one third of total respondents had income level from 10001 to 15000. More than 41 percent households had only one member who earns. Some information was sought out about basic necessities of life which affect living status of any household. Results illustrate that almost all the respondents had connection of electricity except one. Most of the respondents got water from electric pumps. There were very few respondents who treat water before using for drinking purpose. Only 8.6 percent treated water before using it and their method of treatment was filtration. This shows that these people do not treat water to make it pure. The water which they use for drinking is not healthy. This water can cause diseases for them.(Omole 2010).

Most of the respondents were using woods as source of fuel in kitchen. One out our households had been using this source. Information was collected that where they dispose kitchen waste, more than 92.0 percent had practice to dispose it in garbage dump. They dispose their kitchen and house waste nearby garbage dumps which can create problems for local dwellers. This shows that at least they had practice to throw waste into a dump instead of street which is a good indicator.

One out of five did not have drainage attached to house for sanitation. This shows that sanitation system is not proper in these areas(Mihir 2000). Results illustrate that 95.2 percent households had toilet facility and out of these 86.7 percent had flush type toilet. This shows that toilet facility is available in these areas.

Majority of the respondents replied that their household members practice of washing hands. It shows that they are good at practicing this healthy deed. But unfortunately more than half of those do not wash their hands with soap. Dwellers of slums do not have adequate system of latrine cleanliness...Sanitation is very poor in slum areas(Awadall 2013). This clarifies that these people have unhygienic latrines (Wambui, Kimani-Murage et al. 2007).

Second objective of study was to find out health status of urban slums. Firstly, to find out health issues question of having any illness was asked. More than 75 percent respondents replied that any of their household members had to face any illness in two months prior to study. This is a quite high ratio of illness in any area. This shows that this response degrades overall health status of this area. Most frequent illness that they faced was diarrhea and at second was the fever. It depicts that diarrhea is a problem that relates to water born ailments (Unger and Riley 2007). They had not pure water so they had to face this issue.

Most victims of illness were children under five. 45.7 percent affected members were these young ones. They are more vulnerable to pathogens of these diseases. There were 59.0 percent affected members who got treatment, other 41.0 ailed people did not get any treatment. Other who got treatment their main source of getting treatment was quake. They had not approach to other sources for treatment.

Chronic diseases and related information was sought out next. Results indicate that 37.1 percent respondents illustrated that there was at least a person who had any chronic disease. Most common diseases were asthma, TB and hepatitis (Dziuban, Liang et al. 2010). Except 2.9 percent affected household members all were getting treatment.

The main source of getting treatment for disease was government dispensary or hospital. They do not have enough resources to get treatment from private clinics so they prefer to visit public medical centers. Chronic diseases were common in elders(Marengoni, Winblad et al. 2008).

The third objective of study was to find out relation between socio-economic condition and health status of slum areas. Relationship between computed hygienic and health indicators show that there is strong relation between hygienic condition and health status in urban slums. The strong relationship between these two indicates that better hygienic condition leads to good health status(ROUT 2008).

CONCLUSION

This study was conducted in slum area of Sialkot. The main purpose of this study was to find out socio-economic conditions and health status of slum areas. This study concludes that socio-economic condition was not so good. The household size was larger than usual. Education level of studied area was very low. On the average more than four people had to live in one room. Monthly income was too low to provide good facilities to large households. Almost all the households had facility of electricity but Sui gas and water supply were not available. They had household appliances also. People had not water supply so they had to get water from electric pumps and hand pumps. Most of them did not treat water before using it. Some of them had kitchen based on separate room and they used to throw its waste in garbage dump. Most of the respondents had toilet facility. Mostly household members wash hands before eating food and after using toilet but not all of them used soap. Health status of households was very low because almost every third household out of four had at least one member who had any illness during last two months at time of study. Most of affected people were in age group of less than five. Disease prevalence was also high than usual. They had to expend a lot of their earning to get health treatment. Moreover, this study concludes that socio-economic condition and hygienic condition of households were not good due to which they had many health issues. It shows that health status of urban slum is very poor.

REFERENCES

- [1] Alamgir et al. (2009). "Assessing the livelihood of slum dwellers in Dhaka city." *J. Bangladesh Agril. Univ.*, 7(2), 373–380.
- [2] Ali, K. R. E. (2010). "Life in slums: A case study of Bahawalpur." *Afro Asian Journal of Anthropology and Social Policy*, 1(1), 31-50.
- [3] Ameratunga et al. (2006). "Road-traffic injuries: confronting disparities to address a global-health problem." *THE LANCET* 367(9521), 1533-1540.
- [4] Awadall, H. I. (2013). "Health effect of slums: A consequence of urbanization." *Scholarly Journal of Medicine*, 3(1), 7-14.
- [5] Awasthi, S., & S. Agarwal (2003). "Determinants of childhood mortality and morbidity in urban slums in India." *Journal Article - Indian pediatrics*, 40(1), 1145-1160.
- [6] Bandyopadhyay, A., & V. Agrawal (2013). "Slums In India: From Past To Present." *International Refereed Journal of Engineering and Science*, 2(4), 55-59.
- [7] Curtis et al. (2000). "Domestic hygiene and diarrhoea - pinpointing the problem." *Trop Med Int Health*, 5(1), 22-32.
- [8] Dziuban et al. (2010). "Surveillance for Waterborne Disease and Outbreaks Associated with Recreational Water - United States, 2003–2004". *MMWR SurveillSumm*, 55(12), 1–30.

- [9] Graf et al. (2008). "Water disinfection and hygiene behaviour in an urban slum in Kenya " *International Journal of Environmental Health Research*, 18(5), 335–355.
- [10] Gulis, et al. (2004). "Health status of people of slums in Nairobi, Kenya." *Environmental Research*, 96(2004), 219-227.
- [11] Marengoni et al. (2008). "Prevalence of Chronic Diseases and Multimorbidity Among the Elderly Population in Sweden." *Am J Public Health*, 98(7), 1198-1200.
- [12] Mihir, G., & Geeta, K. (2000). "Socio-Demographic and Morbidity Profile of Slum Area in Ahmedabad, India." *National Journal of Community Medicine*, 1(2), 106-110.
- [13] Omole, K. F. (2010). "An Assessment of Housing Condition and Socio-Economic Life Styles of Slum Dwellers in Akure, Nigeria." *Contemporary Management Research*, 6(4), 273-290.
- [14] Ompad et al. (2007). "Social Determinants of the Health of Urban Populations: Methodologic Considerations." *Journal of Urban Health*, 84(1), 43-52.
- [15] Panda et al. (1993). "Health Status of under-fives in a Ludhiana Slum." *Health and Population - Perspectives and Issues*, 16(3&4), 133-141.
- [16] Roberts, P. (2000). "Knowledge, Information and Literacy." *International Review of Education*, 46(5), 433-453.
- [17] Rout, N. R. (2008). "Slum Growth in Bhubaneswar: A Problem or Solution?" *TPI Journal*, 5(4), 59-64.
- [18] Siegel et al. (1997). "Geographic analysis of pertussis infection in an urban area: A tool for health services planning." *American Journal of Public Health*, 87(12), 2022–2026.
- [19] Unger, A., & L. W. Riley (2007). "Slum Health: From Understanding to Action." *PLoS Med.*, 4(10), 1561-1566.
- [20] Wambui et al. (2007). "Quality of Water the Slum Dwellers Use: The Case of a Kenyan Slum." *J Urban Health*, 84(6), 829-838.